UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

٧.	CASE NO.: 8:03-CR-77-T-30TBM
ТАН	TEM NAJI FARIZ
	<u>VERDICT</u>
Cou	ınt One of the Superseding Indictment
1.	As to the offense of Conspiracy to Conduct or Participate in the Conduct of an
	Enterprise through a Pattern of Racketeering Activity, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §
	1962(d),
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:
	Guilty Not Guilty
	If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count One, you need not
	consider paragraphs 2 or 3 below.
2.	We, the Jury, having found the Defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count
	One, further find with respect to that Count that the Defendant specifically
	intended that a member of the conspiracy would commit the racketeering activity
	of: acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 956 (conspiracy to
	murder or maim persons at places outside the United States);
	Proven Not Proven
	If you find the racketeering activity of "acts indictable under Title 18, United

States Code, Section 956 (conspiracy to murder or maim persons at places

outside the United States)" in paragraph 2 not proven as charged, you need not consider paragraph 3 below.

3. We, the Jury, having found the Defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count One, and having found that the Defendant specifically intended that a member of the conspiracy would commit the racketeering activity of "acts indictable under Title 18, United States Code, Section 956 (conspiracy to murder or maim persons at places outside the United States)," further find with respect to that Count that said racketeering activity involved:

	Α.	conspiracy to murder	
		Proven	Not Proven
	В.	conspiracy to maim	
		Proven	Not Proven
Cou	nt Two	of the Superseding Indictment	
1.	As to	the offense of Conspiracy to Muro	der or Maim Persons at Places Outs

We, the Jury, having found the Defendant guilty of the offense charged in Count Two, further find with respect to that Count that the Defendant conspired to commits acts that would constitute the offense of:

	A.	murder	
		Proven	Not Proven
	В.	maiming	
		Proven	Not Proven
Coun	t Thre	e of the Superseding Indictment	<u>t</u>
	As to	the offense of Conspiracy to Prov	ide Material Support to a Designated
	Forei	gn Terrorist Organization, in violat	ion of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B,
	We, t	he Jury, find the Defendant, HATE	EM NAJI FARIZ:
	Guilty	Not G	Guilty
Coun	t Four	of the Superseding Indictment	
	As to	the offense of Conspiracy to Mak	e and Receive Contributions of Funds,
	Good	s, or Services to, or for the Benefi	it of Specially Designated Terrorists, in
	violat	ion of 18 U.S.C. § 371,	
	We, t	he Jury, find the Defendant, HATI	EM NAJI FARIZ:
	Guilty	Not G	Guilty
	lf you	find the Defendant not guilty as o	charged in Count Four, you need not
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.	
2.	We, t	he Jury, having found the Defend	ant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ, guilty of the
	offen	se charged in Count Four, further	find with respect to that Count that the
	Defer	ndant conspired to make and rece	ive contributions of funds, goods, or
	servic	ces to, or for the benefit of the follo	owing Specially Designated Terrorist(s):
	A.	Palestinian Islamic Jihad - Shiqa	aqi Faction
		Proven	Not Proven

	B.	Fathi Shiqaqi		
		Proven	Not Proven	
	C.	Abd Al Aziz Awda		
		Proven	Not Proven	
	D.	Ramadan Abdullah Shalla	ah	
		Proven	Not Proven	
Coun	t Twel	ve of the Superseding Inc	<u>dictment</u>	
1.	As to	the offense of Use of the N	Mail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign	
	Comn	nerce, in violation of 18 U.	S.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,	
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:			
	Guilty		Not Guilty	
	If you	find the Defendant not gui	ilty as charged in Count Twelve, you need not	
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.		
2.	We, t	he Jury, having found the l	Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ, guilty of the	
	offens	se charged in Count Twelv	e, further find with respect to that Count that the	
	Defendant committed the offense:			
	A.	with the intent to commit	any crime of violence to further the unlawful	
		activity of extortion or mo	ney laundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
	B.	with the intent to otherwis	se promote, manage, establish, carry on, or	
		facilitate the promotion, r	nanagement, establishment, or carrying on, of	
		the unlawful activity extor	tion or money laundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	

Count Fourteen of the Superseding Indictment

1.	As to	the offense of Use of the Ma	ail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign		
	Comn	nerce, in violation of 18 U.S.	C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,		
	We, tl	ne Jury, find the Defendant,	HATEM NAJI FARIZ:		
	Guilty		Not Guilty		
	If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count Fourteen, you need no				
	consider paragraph 2 below.				
2.	We, the	he Jury, having found the De	efendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ, guilty of the		
	offens	se charged in Count Fourtee	en, further find with respect to that Count that		
	the D	the Defendant committed the offense:			
	A.	with the intent to commit a	ny crime of violence to further the unlawful		
		activity of extortion or mon-	ey laundering		
		Proven	Not Proven		
	B.	with the intent to otherwise	promote, manage, establish, carry on, or		
		facilitate the promotion, ma	anagement, establishment, or carrying on, of		
		the unlawful activity of exto	ortion or money laundering		
		Proven	Not Proven		
Cour	nt Fifte	en of the Superseding Indi	ctment		
1.	As to	the offense of Use of the M	ail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign		
	Commerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,				
	We, t	he Jury, find the Defendant,	HATEM NAJI FARIZ:		
	Guilty	,	Not Guilty		

If you find the Defendant not guilty as charged in Count Fifteen, you need not consider paragraph 2 below.

We, the Jury, having found the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ, guilty of the 2. offense charged in Count Fifteen, further find with respect to that Count that the

	Defendant committed the offense:			
	A.	with the intent to commit any crime of violence to further the unlawful		
		activity of extortion or mone	ey laundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
	B.	with the intent to otherwise	promote, manage, establish, carry on, or	
		facilitate the promotion, ma	anagement, establishment, or carrying on, of	
		the unlawful activity of exto	ortion or money laundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
Coun	t Eight	teen of the Superseding In	dictment	
1.	As to	the offense of Use of the Ma	ail or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign	
	Comr	nerce, in violation of 18 U.S.	.C. § 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,	
	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:			
	Guilty		Not Guilty	
	If you	find the Defendant not guilt	y as charged in Count Eighteen, you need not	
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.		

We, the Jury, having found the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ, guilty of the 2. offense charged in Count Eighteen, further find with respect to that Count that the Defendant committed the offense:

	A. with the intent to commit any crime of violence to further the unlaw		
		activity of extortion or money laur	ndering
		Proven	Not Proven
	B.	with the intent to otherwise promo	ote, manage, establish, carry on, or
		facilitate the promotion, manager	ment, establishment, or carrying on, of
		the unlawful activity of extortion of	r money laundering
		Proven	Not Proven
Coun	t Ninet	een of the Superseding Indictm	<u>ent</u>
1.	As to	the offense of Use of the Mail or A	any Facility in Interstate or Foreign
	Comn	nerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1	952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,
	We, t	he Jury, find the Defendant, HATE	M NAJI FARIZ:
	Guilty	Not G	uilty
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty as cl	harged in Count Nineteen, you need no
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.	
2.	We, t	he Jury, having found the Defenda	ant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ, guilty of the
	offens	se charged in Count Nineteen, furt	her find with respect to that Count that
	the D	efendant committed the offense:	
	A.	with the intent to commit any crin	ne of violence to further the unlawful
		activity of extortion or money lau	ndering
		Proven	Not Proven

B. with the intent to otherwise prof			ote, manage, establish, carry on, or
		facilitate the promotion, manager	ment, establishment, or carrying on, of
		the unlawful activity of extortion of	or money laundering
		Proven	Not Proven
Coun	t Twen	ty of the Superseding Indictme	<u>nt</u>
1.	As to	the offense of Use of the Mail or A	Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign
	Comn	nerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1	952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,
	We, t	he Jury, find the Defendant, HATE	EM NAJI FARIZ:
	Guilty	Not G	builty
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty as c	harged in Count Twenty, you need not
	consi	der paragraph 2 below.	
2.	We, t	he Jury, having found the Defenda	ant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ , guilty of the
	offens	se charged in Count Twenty, furth	er find with respect to that Count that the
	Defer	ndant committed the offense:	
	A.	with the intent to commit any crir	ne of violence to further the unlawful
		activity of extortion or money lau	ndering
		Proven	Not Proven
	В.	with the intent to otherwise prom	ote, manage, establish, carry on, or
		facilitate the promotion, manage	ment, establishment, or carrying on, of
		the unlawful activity of extortion	or money laundering
		Proven	Not Proven

Count Twenty-One of the Superseding Indictment

1.	As to t	he offense of Use of the Mail o	or Any Facility in Interstate or Foreign	
	Comm	nerce, in violation of 18 U.S.C.	§ 1952(a)(2) and (3) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,	
	We, th	ne Jury, find the Defendant, HA	TEM NAJI FARIZ:	
	Guilty	No	t Guilty	
	If you	find the Defendant not guilty as	s charged in Count Twenty-One, you need	
	not consider paragraph 2 below.			
2.	We, th	ne Jury, having found the Defer	ndant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ , guilty of the	
	offense charged in Count Twenty-One, further find with respect to that Count that			
	the Defendant committed the offense:			
	A.	with the intent to commit any	crime of violence to further the unlawful	
		activity of extortion or money I	aundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
	B.	with the intent to otherwise pro	omote, manage, establish, carry on, or	
		facilitate the promotion, mana	gement, establishment, or carrying on, of	
		the unlawful activity of extortic	n or money laundering	
		Proven	Not Proven	
Coun	t Twen	ty-Two of the Superseding Ir	ndictment	
	As to	the offense of Providing Materi	al Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist	
	Orgar	ization, in violation of 18 U.S.C	C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2,	
	We, th	ne Jury, find the Defendant, HA		
	Guilty	No	t Guilty	

Count Twenty-Three of the Superseding Indictment As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ: Guilty _______ Not Guilty _______

Count Twenty-Four of the Superseding Indictment

As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:

Guilty ______ Not Guilty ______

Count Twenty-Five of the Superseding Indictment

As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:

Guilty ______ Not Guilty ______

Count Twenty-Six of the Superseding Indictment

As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:

Guilty ______ Not Guilty ______

Count Twenty-Seven of the Superseding Indictment As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, **HATEM NAJI FARIZ**: Not Guilty X **Count Twenty-Eight of the Superseding Indictment** As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, **HATEM NAJI FARIZ**: Guilty _____ Not Guilty **Count Twenty-Nine of the Superseding Indictment** As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ: Not Guilty _____ Count Thirty of the Superseding Indictment

As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, **HATEM NAJI FARIZ**:

Guilty ______ Not Guilty ______

Count Thirty-One of the Superseding Indictment As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ: Not Guilty _____ Guilty _____ Count Thirty-Two of the Superseding Indictment As to the offense of Providing Material Support to a Designated Foreign Terrorist Organization, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2339B(a)(1) and 18 U.S.C. § 2, We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ: Guilty _____ Not Guilty ____ **Count Thirty-Three of the Superseding Indictment** As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A), We, the Jury, find the Defendant, **HATEM NAJI FARIZ**: Guilty Not Guilty Count Thirty-Four of the Superseding Indictment As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A), We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:

Guilty _____ Not Guilty _____ Count Thirty-Five of the Superseding Indictment

As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A),

We, the Jury, find the Defendant, **HATEM NAJI FARIZ**:

Guilty ______ Not Guilty _____

Count T	hirty-Six of the Superseding Indictment		
Α	s to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A),		
V	We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:		
G	uilty Not Guilty		
Count T	hirty-Seven of the Superseding Indictment		
А	s to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A),		
V	e, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:		
G	uilty Not Guilty		
Count T	hirty-Eight of the Superseding Indictment		
А	As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A)		
V	e, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ :		
G	uilty Not Guilty		
Count T	hirty-Nine of the Superseding Indictment		
Α	s to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A),		
V	e, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:		
G	uilty Not Guilty		
Count Forty of the Superseding Indictment			
А	s to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1956(a)(2)(A),		
V	e, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:		
G	auilty Not Guilty		

Count Forty-One of the Superseding Indictment	
As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §	§ 1956(a)(2)(A),
We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:	
Guilty Not Guilty	
Count Forty-Two of the Superseding Indictment	
As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.	§ 1956(a)(2)(A),
We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:	
Guilty Not Guilty	
Count Forty-Three of the Superseding Indictment	
As to the offense of Money Laundering, in violation of 18 U.S.C.	§ 1956(a)(2)(A),
We, the Jury, find the Defendant, HATEM NAJI FARIZ:	
Guilty Not Guilty	
SO SAY WE ALL, this day of Dec , 200	<u>5</u> .
#/05 FOREPERSON	
FORFPERSON	